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Executive Summary

Background
In May 2018, the City of West Sacramento began piloting an innovative on-demand rideshare service in partnership with NoMad Transit LLC, a wholly owned subsidiary of Via Transportation Inc. Halfway through the Pilot year (November 2018), a survey was conducted to help the City better understand who was using the service, how they were using it, and what potential impacts it was having on the travel behavior or quality of life of riders. The survey was intended to capture high level trends and will be followed by a more rigorous academic evaluation in partnership with UC Berkeley toward the end of the Pilot term.

The survey received a 14% response rate (521 respondents) and a 92% completion rate. Respondents generally reflected the West Sacramento community, with a few exceptions. Relative to the general population (2017 ACS), a slightly higher response was received from young men aged 13-17, men and women aged 40-49, older women aged 60-79, from households earning less than $10,000 a year, and from people who have attended some college, but did not obtain a degree.

Who is using the On-Demand Rideshare service?
Community members of all ages, incomes, educational backgrounds and genders are using the service, however young people under the age of 21 appear to be the most frequent users, followed by older adults (50+). Riders are more likely to come from households with between $15,000 and $35,000 household income and are slightly more likely to be women.

What is the On-Demand Rideshare service being used for?
Highschool students are regularly using the service to commute to school, work and social or recreational activities. Users in their twenties are also commuting, but also take rideshare for more errands, like groceries and shopping. Conversely, Seniors that tend to be retired are not commuting at all but appear to depend on the service for daily goods and services, like groceries, medical or dental appointments, and going to social or recreational activities.

Although some middle aged users (30-59) use rideshare to commute, they do so at much lower rates than riders under 30. Rather, middle aged riders, especially those from middle or upper income households, are more likely to be taking rideshare to connect with local bars and restaurants, for social and recreational purposes, or to transport family members, such as children or elderly parents.

A small portion of riders say they view the service as more of a safety net for when their car or bike is broken down or is in the shop for maintenance. They use it infrequently but are very happy to have it as a back-up plan.
Is the On-Demand Rideshare service changing how people choose to get around?

Half of respondents said they were using the rideshare service instead of taking Uber/Lyft and 34% said they were using it instead of driving alone or catching a ride from a friend or family member. These responses may be early indications of potential reductions in vehicle miles travelled associated with ridesharing, driving alone, or getting rides from others, but more analysis will be necessary to quantify impacts.

Middle-aged respondents from households with slightly higher incomes were more likely to say they are driving alone less because of the rideshare service, which may be correlated with higher rates of auto-ownership among middle and upper income households. Interestingly, a fair number of Seniors (60+) also said they were driving alone less, possibly indicating that the rideshare service facilitates the decision of older adults to give up driving sooner. Those switching from Uber/Lyft were primarily between the age of 18-60 but were evenly represented across gender and household income.

Riders who said they would have gotten a ride from a friend or family member if the rideshare service was unavailable were most likely to be Youth (18 or under) and Seniors (60+), which may be partly explained by age-restrictions preventing minors from using ride-hailing services, and a slightly lower technological literacy or trust among Seniors in regard to services like Uber or Lyft. Similarly, respondents aged 13-17 and aged 70+ were most likely to have not taken the trip at all if the rideshare service was unavailable. This may indicate that the rideshare service is helping to meet latent demand for transportation among both the youngest and oldest members of the community.

Those reporting the greatest drop in bus use were predominantly women (32% females greatly decreasing bus use compared to only 17% male), households making less than $35,000 a year, and were more likely to be younger (13-17, 20-29) or older (70+).

People who said they were walking or biking less were predominantly men, were more likely to be under 30 or over 60 and to come from slightly lower income households. However, respondents in their 30's, especially those from middle or upper income households, said they are walking or biking more because of the rideshare service.

Is the On-Demand Rideshare service impacting the quality of life of its users?

66% of respondents feel safer getting around town and 59% had a greater sense of independence, and 41% said their access to healthy foods and medical care had increased, especially among women, younger (under 21) and older (60+) riders, and households earnings less than $35,000 a year.

More than half of respondents, especially women, said they were visiting local businesses or participating in social activities more often due to their use of the rideshare service.

Monthly transportation expenses were reported fairly consistently across gender, age and income categories. Seniors (age 60+) and respondents in their 20's were slightly more likely to be benefiting from monthly savings. Those aged 13-17 were most likely to say they are paying more because of the rideshare service, possibly indicating that a latent demand for youth mobility options may exist in the community.
Introduction

A Survey of Pilot On-Demand Rideshare Service Users

Background

In 2016, the West Sacramento City Council directed staff to explore innovative public transportation options with the potential of encouraging more shared rides and enhancing accessibility and mobility for underserved communities. Subsequently, the City conducted a competitive solicitation to select a partner to assist in the deployment, operations, and performance evaluation of a one (1) year Pilot program to assess the costs and benefits of offering a more flexible, on-demand public transportation service.

NoMad Transit LLC, a wholly owned subsidiary of Via Transportation Inc. (“Via”), was awarded a contract to operate the Pilot service using Via’s proprietary technology to dynamically route a dedicated fleet of Mercedes Benz Metris vans in real-time to provide on-demand, corner-to-corner, shared rides throughout the City. The Pilot service is also sometimes referred to as “Via Rideshare” by users. The service offers a flat-rate public transportation option with the same convenience as a ride-hailing service, but with the added benefit of sharing the ride with neighbors, which contributes toward environmental goals and increasing social interaction between community members. Service was launched May 14, 2018 with expectations of roughly 200 to 250 average daily rides. By Fall 2018, ridership had surpassed these early ridership estimates by 50% and continued growing.

Through the City’s partnership with Via, the UC Berkeley Transportation Sustainability Research Center (TSRC) was recruited to conduct a Final Performance Evaluation toward the end of the one-year Pilot term, which would assist the City in understanding the degree to which, or if at all, the Pilot On-Demand Rideshare service had impacted travel behaviors and quality of life factors for the community. However, in the interim, the City elected to conduct a mid-term survey of users to better understand who was riding the service and what types of trips they were using it for, termed here as the “6-Month User Survey”.

Survey Objectives

The 6-Month User Survey was designed to collect data that would help the City better understand who was riding the service (i.e., demographics), how they were using the service (i.e., trip purpose), and how, if at all, the program had impacted their travel behaviors or quality of life (i.e., drive less, sense of safety). The survey instrument is included in this report as Appendix 1.
Survey Approach

The 6-Month User Survey was designed to collect information from existing Via account holders about their use of the service. At the time of survey deployment, the population of Via Account Holders was roughly 3,750 individuals. The survey was open for three (3) weeks, from November 26 to December 17, 2018.

An online survey format was designed using the “SurveyMonkey” platform and was expected to take roughly 5 minutes to complete. All questions were optional, but were not advertised as such, allowing Respondents to skip any question they felt uncomfortable responding to. Survey links were emailed to all Via account holders.

Paper surveys were also designed using age-friendly best practices to ensure legibility and ease of completion among the older adult community. Paper surveys were distributed in all rideshare vehicles and Drivers were asked to encourage riders to take the survey, if they hadn’t already done so online. Paper surveys were also made available at City Hall, the Community Center, and the Recreation Center.

Fliers encouraging community members that had signed up for the rideshare service were also distributed at the Community Center and were advertised on the City’s social media and webpage venues. Local organizations such as the Broderick Bryte Community Action Network (BBCAN) and the West Sacramento Chamber of Commerce assisted in spreading the word through word-of-mouth and on social media. Respondents were incentivized to take the survey with the chance to win one of four $25 Visa Gift Cards.

A total of 521 surveys were completed with a 92% completion rate (480 fully completed surveys and 41 partial responses). 467 (90%) of Respondents completed the survey online and 54 (10%) in hard copy. Respondents took an average 4 minutes to complete the online survey. Relative to the number of accounts that had been created at the time of survey deployment (3,750), the response rate represented 14% of all account holders. As of the writing of this report (February 2019), total accounts opened had grown to 4,500.

**Figure 1. Survey Recruitment Flyer**

Survey flyers were posted on the City’s webpage and social media account. Local organizations such as BBCAN and the West Sacramento Chamber of Commerce helped to spread the word.

Hard copies were also broadly distributed on tables at the Community Center, including at the front counter and on tables in the Senior Lounge area.
Key Findings & Analysis

A Survey of On-Demand Rideshare Users

Demographics

Generally, respondents were fairly reflective of the West Sacramento community relative to the 2017 5-Year American Communities Survey (2017 ACS), with some exceptions. Respondents were almost entirely local, with 95% of respondents providing a zip code within the City of West Sacramento.

Age & Gender

Overall, slightly more women responded than men, with about 62% of respondents identifying as female and 36% identifying as male. 1.5% declined to state and .5% identified as gender non-binary.

Relative to the City’s overall demographic make-up (2017 ACS), a slightly higher response was received from young men (13-17), adults aged 40-49, and older women (60-79), as illustrated in Figure 2 below.

Figure 2. Age & Gender of Respondents vs. General Population (2017 ACS) (482 Responses)
**Annual Household Income**

Respondents significantly mirrored the total household incomes of the community. However, a greater response came from individuals reporting annual household incomes of less than $10,000, and a slightly lower response came from households between $50,000-$74,999 and $100,000-$149,999 relative to the general population.

Households with annual incomes of less than $10,000 responded at a disproportionally greater rate. However, further analysis by age showed that 33% of respondents selecting this response were under the age of 21 and another 30% were under the age of 29. Staff suspects that this oversampling is likely attributable to a respondent error attributable at least in part to some younger respondents, especially those under 18, indicating their personal income rather than their household’s total income.

A majority of respondents reporting annual household incomes ranging from $50,000-$74,999 and $100,000-$149,999 fell between the ages of 30 and 59. Generally, and as further supported in this report, this age and income group has access to a personal vehicle, suggesting that they may be less likely to use the On-Demand Rideshare service for compulsory trips, such as commuting or going to appointments. Staff suspects this is why a smaller sample was obtained from this subpopulation.

![Figure 3. Household Income of Respondents vs. General Population (2017 ACS) (470 Responses)](image-url)
**Educational Attainment**

Relative to the 2017 5-Year ACS, a higher proportion of respondents indicated their level of educational attainment as either “Some College, but No Degree” or “Less Than High School”.

Of the 148 respondents who reported having “Some College, but No Degree”, respondents were fairly equally distributed across age and income groups. Individuals with this level of education represented 30% of all survey respondents, compared to only 22% of the general population.

Of the 48 individuals who reported having a less than High School education, roughly 69% (33 respondents) reported being at or around high school age (13-17 or 18-21). Conversely, the remaining 31% (15 respondents) were aged 22 or older. Individuals with this level of education represented roughly 10% of survey respondents, compared to about 7.5% of the general population.

**Figure 4. Level of Educational Attainment (481 Responses)**

![Graph showing level of educational attainment comparison between survey respondents and ACS](image)

**Geographic Distribution of Respondents**

An 85% response rate was received when respondents were asked to provide zip code information. Out of 444 responses, almost all (95%) reported a zip code in the City of West Sacramento. Only 5% reported living in a non-local zip code.

78% (346 respondents) reported a 95691 zip code and roughly 17% (75 respondents) reported a 95605 zip code. According to the 2017 5-Year ACS, roughly 72% of the general population resides in the 95691 area and 28% reside in the 95605 area.
Travel Behavior Impacts

Multiple survey questions were included to learn if and to what extent On-Demand Rideshare users were changing their travel behaviors as a result of the Pilot service. Questions were designed to obtain general indications of ridership, trip purposes and mode shifts. Although the 6-Month User survey provides some significant insights, additional analysis will be necessary to quantify the degree to which users may be shifting from other modes of transportation onto the rideshare service.

Recent Ridership
Respondents were asked to estimate how many rides they had taken in the past 30 days to provide a general indication of their frequency of use. Although anonymized data is already collected on overall ridership and repeat ridership using the Via technology platform, responses to this question enabled staff to evaluate estimated ridership levels across subgroups to better understand how different people are riding. Beyond averages, different types of riders have also emerged, ranging from the occasional user who views the rideshare option as more of a back-up plan, to the “super-user” who report riding up to 120 times a month.

Figure 5. Average Number of Trips Completed in Past 30-Days by Age

Overall Trip Purpose
The top selected trip purposes were “Social or Recreational” and “Commuting to School or Work”, followed by a significant response of “Groceries & Shopping” and “Local Bars and Restaurants”. Roughly 15% (78 Respondents) selected “Other”, of which 27% were individuals who signed up but hadn’t ridden yet, and 21% described their primary use as a “Plan B” for when their car or bike is unavailable. 19% specified other errand or non-medical appointments and the remaining 33% made general comments or elaborated on their selections to identify specific locations, such as the library or visiting friend’s homes.

Figure 6. Trip Purpose (521 Responses)
Overall Trip Purpose, Continued

Although this question provided a general sense of the primary trip purposes associated with the rideshare service, it is important to note that this data does not capture the frequency of trips across each trip purpose. Nonetheless, it provides a cross-section of how community members say they are using the service and provided a basis for conducting additional analyses to examine trip purpose across subpopulations, as discussed below.

Trip Purpose by Gender

Trip purpose was fairly consistent across genders, however female respondents were significantly more likely than male respondents to say they used the On-Demand Rideshare service for “Groceries and Shopping”, “Medical or Dental Appointments”, and “Transporting Children or Other Family Members”.

Trip Purpose by Income

Households with annual incomes of $35,000 or less reported using the On-Demand Rideshare service for “Groceries and Shopping” at a significantly higher rate. Households making $35,000 - $74,000 annually also use the service for “Groceries and Shopping”, but with only 30% of respondents in this income category reporting as such compared to 50% of respondents with household incomes less than $35,000. Further, households with annual incomes less than $10,000 indicated a greater use for “Medical or Dental Appointments”.

Conversely, households with annual incomes of $50,000 and above indicated using the service for “Social and Recreational Activities” and “Bars and Restaurants” at higher rates. Respondents across all income categories selected “Commuting to Work or School” and “Transporting Children or Other Family Members” at similar rates.

Figure 7. Reported Trip Purposes by Annual Household Income (470 Responses)
Trip Purpose by Age

Clear patterns emerged when trip purpose was examined across age categories. Younger ages groups (13-29), especially High School aged respondents, appear to be using the service at significantly higher rates (50%-80%) for compulsory trips (“Commuting to Work or School”), as well as for non-compulsory trips (“Social and Recreational Activities”). Young adults (ages 18-29) appear to use the service at a slightly higher rate for “Groceries and Shopping” than High School aged respondents. Generally, this may indicate that younger people gain independence and increased access to jobs, education, and daily amenities through use of the service.

At the other end of the age spectrum, older adults demonstrated a distinctly different profile of trip purposes using the On-Demand Rideshare service, especially for those at or around the standard retirement age (~60+). As would be expected, use of the service for commuting dramatically plummets for respondents in these age groups. On the contrary, Seniors appear to be primarily using the service for daily goods and services such as “Groceries and Shopping” and attending “Medical or Dental Appointments”, alongside some social and recreational trips. A majority of older adults who selected “Other” as one of their responses chose to do so in order to provide additional detail on their trips, specifying non-medical appointments and visits to the library or the homes of friends and family as examples. This indicates that the older adult community is using the service to connect with daily goods and services, while also better accessing civic resources and social opportunities.

Respondents in middle age groups (30-59) indicated that their primary use of the rideshare service is for non-compulsory trips, especially “Bars and Restaurants” and “Social and Recreational”. Unsurprisingly, since they are more likely to have dependent children and/or aging parents, these age groups also reported using the service to “Transport Children or Other Family Members” at higher rates. Middle aged respondents indicated slightly lower use of the service for commuting, groceries or appointments.
Overall Mode Shift

Two questions were included to learn more about potential impacts of the service on travel behaviors. The first asked respondents to identify which other modes they would have used instead if the rideshare service was unavailable, and the other asked respondents to estimate the degree to which they have altered their use of certain modes.

Clear patterns emerged across all respondents when asked which mode they would have taken if the rideshare service was unavailable. This question allowed respondents to select all that applied, which also helped to create a modal profile of respondents, particularly when cross-referenced with age, gender, and household income. However, it is important to note that this question did not provide an indication of the quantity or frequency of trips replaced on each mode selected by respondents. The results of this question do, however, provide insights on which modes a majority of respondents said they were shifting from, in general.

By a significant majority, the top three modes respondents said they would have used if the rideshare service was unavailable were “Uber/Lyft”, “Drive Alone”, and “Driven by a Friend or Family Member”, as shown below. Of 521 responses, almost 50% (234 respondents) said they would have used Uber/Lyft for some trips if the City’s rideshare service wasn’t an option. The rideshare service appears to be used by the community as a substitute good by providing a more affordable service with a similar level of convenience as Uber/Lyft. Similarly, 30% (159) said they would have driven alone or gotten a ride from a friend or family member.

These responses may signal reductions in vehicle miles travelled (VMT) resulting from the On-Demand Rideshare pilot, however additional analysis will be required to more precisely quantify the potential magnitude of such impacts. Similarly, net VMT impacts will need to be considered alongside potential reductions in the use of lower VMT modes, such as riding a bus or walking. For example, nearly 20% of respondents said they may have taken the bus, if rideshare was unavailable. Additional research will work to better understand the degree to which bus users may be switching to rideshare, and which routes they may be riding less often.

Figure 9. Overall Mode Shift (521 Responses)
**Overall Mode Shift, Continued**

14% (65 respondents) said they may not have taken a trip at all if the rideshare service wasn’t available. This may imply a latent demand for transportation, possibly from mobility-underserved communities, which may also signal a resulting increase in VMT. Additional analysis with UC Berkeley will also help assess the net impact of the pilot rideshare service on overall VMT alongside improved mobility for underserved communities.

**Mode Shift by Gender**

No significant differences were observed between men and women in terms of mode shift responses, except that men were 2.5 times more likely to shift from biking. This is best explained by the fact that men are generally more likely than women to choose biking as a mode of transportation, as evidenced by several other studies.

**Mode Shift by Annual Household Income**

A clear relationship was observed between household income and mode shift. Perhaps unsurprisingly, higher income households, especially those making more than $75,000, stated that they would have driven alone instead of taking the rideshare service at a significantly higher rate than lower income households. Similarly, respondents from lower income households, especially those making less than $25,000 a year, were significantly more likely to have taken the bus, walked, or not taken the trip at all.

These results may indicate that traditional “choice” transit riders from higher income households may be more likely to switch from their personal vehicle to the City’s rideshare service over traditional fixed route service. Combined with the trip purpose findings discussed above, it also seems feasible that these riders are driving alone less for non-compulsory trips, such as visits to local bars and restaurants.

On the other hand, these results also indicate that traditionally transit-dependent households lacking access to a personal automobile may be switching to the rideshare service from less convenient or less comfortable modes to complete compulsory trips, such as commuting to school or work, or running errand for groceries or other amenities. Although there may be some health benefits lost where respondents are walking less, it is equally important to acknowledge potentially significant savings in both time and financial costs.

Interestingly, shifts from other options including Uber/Lyft or getting rides from friends or family were fairly static across all income categories, indicating that demand for a more affordable service of this type may have existed in the community prior to the launch of the On-Demand Rideshare pilot. **Figure 10. Mode Shift by Annual Household Income**
**Mode Shift by Age**

As was the case for trip purpose, age was a determining factor in which modes respondents said they were shifting from. **Shifts from Uber/Lyft were largely attributable to respondents aged 18-59**, with over 50% in each age group selecting this response. Uber & Lyft do not allow riders under 18 to ride alone, which explains a significantly lower response from respondents age 13-17. In some cases, older adults were less likely to use Uber/Lyft due to the required use of a Smartphone. However, some older adults may also have fixed incomes, making these services potentially inaccessible to them and explaining why fewer respondents age 60+ selected this response.

Not unsurprisingly, age groups that tend to be more dependent on others for transportation reported a higher rate of shifting from rides from friends and family, namely younger respondents that either cannot legally drive or may not own a car, and especially older adults (70+) who may have physical limitations that prevent them from driving or perhaps can’t afford a car. This was especially pronounced among respondents aged 80+.

Respondents aged 30-79 reported shifting from driving alone at a significantly higher rate than other age groups. **Over half of respondents age 30-39 said they would have driven alone instead**, along with roughly 30-40% of subsequent older age groups. Interestingly, and in line with anecdotal evidence received regarding the rideshare program, many older adults expressed that they were more willing to give up driving a personal vehicle as often because of having the rideshare service as an option. Responses to this question seem to support this shift, especially among those aged 70+.

Respondents most likely to report switching from the bus tended to be younger, specifically under the age of 30, or older (70+). Younger respondents (age 18-20) were significantly more likely to have used JUMP bikes, and respondents aged 13-17 were the most likely to have walked, ridden their own bike, skateboard or scooter.

**Respondents aged 13-17 and aged 70-79+ were the most likely across all age groups (about 25% from both age groups) to have not taken the trip at all.** This may indicate that the rideshare service is improving the range of mobility options for the youngest and oldest members of the West Sacramento community.

*Figure 11. Mode Shift by Age (521 Respondents)*
Changes in Transportation Choices: Direction and Degree of Shift from Key Modes

In addition to a general question about mode shift, a secondary question was included to better understand the extent to which respondents felt their transportation choices were being impacted. The question was designed as a matrix that focused on four (4) key modes of interest: driving alone, riding the bus, using paratransit services, and walking or biking. It also included a question asking if the rideshare service had impacted how often they left their home to provide an indication of latent or induced demand for transportation resulting from the service.

Lastly, respondents were asked to indicate the extent to which their overall satisfaction with the City’s transportation system had changed. Responses options were provided on a 5-point Likert scale ranging from Greatly Decreased, Slight Decreased, No Change/Stayed the Same, Slightly Increased, or Greatly Increased. An “N/A” option was provided for responses that respondents felt did not apply to them, such as paratransit.

As a result of your Via Rideshares use, how have your transportation choices changed, if at all? If an answer doesn’t apply to you, select “N/A”.

Figure 12. Changes in Driving Alone (487 Respondents)

Of 306 respondents that indicated driving alone applied to them, 40% said the amount they drive alone slightly decreased (28% or 87 respondents) or greatly decreased (12% or 36 respondents) as a result of the rideshare service. Half said there was no change to how often they drive alone. Respondents most likely to say they’re driving less tended to be middle aged (30-69) and earn $35,000+ a year. Changes in driving alone did not vary by gender.

Notably, 181 respondents indicated that this option did not apply (“N/A” response), which may imply to some extent that they lack access to use an automobile for transportation. Respondents selecting “N/A” were evenly represented across age and gender but came predominantly from households making less than $35,000 a year.

Changes in Transit Use (Bus & Paratransit)

Out of 276 respondents that indicated that riding the bus applied to them, 41% of respondents said their use of the bus has slightly decreased (16% or 44 respondents) or greatly decreased (25% or 70 respondents). Interestingly, 12% of respondents (31 respondents) said their bus use has slightly increased (6%) or greatly increased (6%) as a result of the rideshare service. Half said they haven’t changed their bus use at all.

Those who report the greatest decrease in their bus use were predominantly women (32% females greatly decreasing bus use compared to only 17% male), households making less than $35,000 a year, and tended to be either younger or older, between the ages of 13-17, 20-29 or over the age of 70.

Although most indicated this option did not apply (326 “N/A” responses), a small number indicated changes to use of paratransit. However, the exact same number of people (24%) cited an increase as those that cited a decrease, indicating no impact on demand for paratransit. Many paratransit trips are destined to medical facilities in adjacent cities, so these findings are generally in line with expectations.
Changes in Walking or Biking

Out of 381 respondents who said this option applied to them, most (54%) said they have had no change to how often they choose to walk or bike. 28% said their walking and biking has slightly decreased (16% or 59 respondents) or greatly decreased (12% or 59 respondents), yet the remaining 18% said they have slightly increased (13% or 48 respondents) or greatly decreased (5% or 18 respondents) how often they walk and bike.

Although some respondents appear to be replacing active transportation trips with the rideshare service, others may actually be linking their trips by using a mix of walking or biking on either end of their trip. Since the rideshare service uses a “Virtual Stop” model that requires users to walk up to 200-500 feet, this may be a contributing factor to respondents making this selection. However, ample data has also suggested that some users make trips Downtown using rideshare service to connect with a JUMP bike or on foot.

Respondents who said they are walking and biking less tended to be on the slightly younger or older side (under 30 or over 60) and were significantly more likely to come from households making less than $35,000 a year. Interestingly, those who said they are walking or biking more often because of the rideshare service were significantly more likely to be in their 30’s and from household’s earning an annual income of between $75,000-$149,999 or less than $10,000 a year, as shown in Figure 13 below.

Figure 13. Changes in Walking or Biking by Annual Household Income (486 Respondents)

Changes in Demand for Transportation

Out of 424 responses, many respondents (45%) said there was no change to how often they left their home as a result of the rideshare service. However, exactly half (50%) said that the rideshare service has slightly increased (29%) or greatly increased (21%) how often they leave their home. Some of these increases may be attributable to accommodating latent demand from underserved communities, while others may be induced by the introduction of the rideshare service as an option. This response was consistent across all incomes, ages, and genders, although younger people (age 13-17) were slightly more likely to select “Greatly Increased”.

Figure 13. Changes in Walking or Biking by Annual Household Income (486 Respondents)
Changes in Overall Satisfaction
Out of 446 respondents, three out of four (75%) of all respondents said their satisfaction with the City’s transportation system had grown because of the rideshare service, with an impressive 55% (244 respondents) saying it has greatly increased, and another 22% saying it had slightly increased.

In other words, respondents across all ages, incomes, and genders said they were overwhelmingly pleased by the addition of rideshare service to the City’s menu of mobility options.

Summary of Travel Behavior Impacts
Riders are less reliant on Uber/Lyft, driving alone, and getting rides from others because of the rideshare program. Half of respondents said they are using the rideshare service instead of taking Uber/Lyft, and 34% said they use it instead of driving alone or catching a ride from a friend or family member. These responses may be early indications of potential reductions in vehicle miles travelled associated with ride-hailing, driving alone, or getting rides from others, but more analysis will be necessary to quantify impacts.

Middle-aged respondents from households with slightly higher incomes were more likely to say they are driving alone less often because of the rideshare service, which may be correlated with higher rates of auto-ownership among middle and upper income households. Interestingly, a fair number of Seniors (60+) also said they were driving alone less, possibly indicating that the rideshare service facilitates the decision of older adults to give up driving sooner. Those switching from Uber/Lyft were primarily between the age of 18-60 but were evenly represented across gender and income.

Rideshare gives Youths and Seniors more independence and more convenient mobility options.
Riders who said they would have gotten a ride from a friend or family member if the rideshare service was unavailable were most likely to be Youth (18 or under) or Seniors (60+). Similarly, respondents aged 13-17 and 70+ were most likely to have not taken the trip at all if rideshare was unavailable. This may indicate that rideshare is helping to meet latent demand for mobility among the youngest and oldest community members.

Those reporting the greatest drop in bus use were predominantly women (32% females greatly decreasing bus use compared to 17% male), households making less than $35,000 a year, and were more likely to be younger (13-17, 20-29) or older (70+).

Minor decreases in walking and biking, especially among men.
People who said they were walking or biking less were predominantly men, were more likely to be under 30 or over 60 and to come from slightly lower income households. However, respondents in their 30’s, especially those from middle or upper income households, said they are walking or biking more because of the rideshare service.
Quality of Life Impacts

Questions were also included to obtain a sense of how the rideshare service may be impacting factors that contribute to overall quality of life, such as access to healthy foods or one’s sense of independence.

As a result of your Via Rideshares use, how have the following aspects of your life changed, if at all? If an answer doesn’t apply to you, select “N/A”.

Overall Quality of Life Impacts

Respondents were asked to use a 5 point Likert scales to indicate the degree to which various aspects of their quality of life had greatly decreased, slightly decreased, stayed the same (no change), slightly increased, or greatly increased. Respondents had the option of marking “N/A” if they did not feel a response applied to them. The key variables for which respondents were asked to describe direct impacts resulting from their use of the rideshare program included: how safe they feel getting around town, their sense of independence, visits to local businesses, participation in social activities, civic or community engagement, access to healthy foods or medical care, and monthly transportation costs. An increase in any category would be viewed as an increased quality of life, except for transportation costs.

Generally, a majority of respondents said they felt safer getting around town and experienced greater sense of independence as a result of using the rideshare service. Specifically, 66% said they feel safer getting around town and 59% had a greater sense of independence. More than half said they are visiting local businesses more often or participating in social activities as a result of their use of the rideshare service, and around 40% said they are more civically engaged, have better access to healthy foods or medical care, and are spending less on transportation expenses every month.

Sense of Safety

One of the biggest takeaways related to quality of life was that the On-Demand Rideshare service has increased how safe riders feeling getting around town. Out of 432 respondents, 66% said their sense of safety had grown, with 31% (132 respondents) saying they felt slightly safer and 35% (153 respondents) said their sense of safety had greatly increased. Roughly one-third said they experienced no change, and less than 2% said they experienced a decrease.

Respondents who cited an increased sense of safety were slightly more likely to be female, with 12% more women reporting a “Greatly Increased” sense of safety compared to men. 30% of respondents in all income categories said their sense of safety felt greatly increased. However, this was especially pronounced among households making less than $35,000 a year. This response was fairly consistent across age groups, but with slightly higher responses from those under the age of 21 or over the age of 70.
**Sense of Independence**

Similarly, a significant number of respondents (59%) reported an increased sense of independence resulting from their use of the rideshare service. Out of 403 responses, 26% (105 respondents) said they felt slightly more independent and 33% (133 respondents) said their independence was greatly increased. A little bit more than a third said they experienced no change and less than 3% said they felt they experienced a decreased.

Although increases in independence were reported across age, income, and gender categories, those who appeared to benefit the most from increased independence tended to be women (10% more women said their independence was “Greatly Increased” compared to men), respondents from households earning $10,000-$35,000 a year, and respondents under the age of 21 or over the age of 60.

**Figure 15. Changes in Sense of Independence by Age (403 Respondents)**

**Visits to Local Businesses**

Out of 394 responses, about 56% said their visits to local businesses had slightly increased (35% or 133 respondents) or greatly increased (21% or 83 respondents). 41% reported no change, and less than 4% reported a decrease. This response was fairly consistent across age, income, and gender categories, however, respondents in the 30’s-40’s and those over 80+ were most likely to report a slight increase, while those over 60+ were most likely to report their outings as “Greatly Increased”.

**Participation in Social Activities**

Out of 387 responses, about 55% said their participation in social activities had slightly increased (33% or 129 respondents) or greatly increased (22% or 84 respondents). 43% reported no change, and less than 2% reported a decrease. Women were twice as likely as men to report that their participation in social activities had “Greatly Increased”. Responses were slightly mixed, but generally consistent across ages and household incomes.

**Civic or Community Engagement**

Out of 350 responses, about 43% said their civic or community engagement had slightly increased (27% or 96 respondents) or greatly increased (15% or 53 respondents). 55% reported no change, and less than 2% reported a decrease. Women were more than twice as likely to say their civic or community engagement had “Greatly Increased”. Responses were slightly mixed, but generally consistent across ages and household incomes.
Access to Healthy Foods or Medical Care
Out of 362 responses, about 41% said their access to healthy foods or medical care had slightly increased (22% or 81 respondents) or greatly increased (19% or 69 respondents). 57% reported no change, and less than 2% reported a decrease. Respondents most likely to report an increase in access to healthy foods or medical care were slightly more likely to be under the age of 21 or between ages 60-80, were twice as likely to be women, and were significantly more likely to be from a household earning less than $35,000 a year.

Figure 16. Changes in Access to Healthy Foods or Medical Care by Annual Household Income

Monthly Transportation Costs
Of 403 responses, about 40% said their monthly transportation expenses had slightly decreased (20% or 82 respondents) or greatly increased (19% or 78 respondents). 40% reported no change. 12% (47 respondents) cited a slight increase and another 9% (36 respondents) reported that their monthly expenses greatly increased. This may be indicative of the service supporting latent demand for this type of transportation option or could be reflective of an induced demand effect of more options being made available.

Figure 17. Overall Changes in Monthly Transportation Costs

Generally, cost savings were reported fairly consistently across gender, age and income categories. Seniors (age 60+) and respondents in their 20’s were slightly more likely to be benefiting from monthly savings. Those aged 13-17 were more likely to be paying more, possibly indicating that a latent demand for youth mobility options may have existed in the community.
Summary of Quality of Life Impacts

The On-Demand Rideshare service makes users feel safer getting around town and provides a greater sense of independence, especially for youth and Seniors.

66% of respondents feel safer getting around town and 59% had a greater sense of independence, and 41% said their access to healthy foods and medical care had increased, especially among women, younger (under 21) and older (60+) riders, and those from households earnings less than $35,000 a year.

Via riders are frequenting local businesses and participating in social activities more often.

More than half of respondents, especially women, said they are visiting local businesses or participating in social activities more often due to their use of the rideshare service.

Most riders are saving on monthly transportation expenses, but teenagers say they’re spending more.

Monthly transportation expenses were reported fairly consistently across gender, age and income categories. Seniors (age 60+) and respondents in their 20's were slightly more likely to be benefiting from monthly savings. Those who said they are paying more because of the rideshare service were more likely to be aged 13-17, indicating a latent demand for youth mobility options may exist in the community.

Conclusion

Community members of all ages greatly enjoy using the On-Demand Rideshare service and are very satisfied with its addition to the City’s transportation network. A multitude of benefits ranging from independence for youth and seniors, a greater sense of safety for women, and potential reduction in VMT from riders shifting from Uber/Lyft or driving alone hint at the success of the Pilot. As additional research is conducted with UC Berkeley, more depth will be added to an understanding of the scale and magnitude of travel behavior impacts. Ultimately, this information may help guide City Council’s decision on whether to continue the program.